1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	
4	DEPARTMENT WE-F HON. DAVID J. COWAN, COMMISSIONER
5	
6	MIRI AVRAHAM,
7	PETITIONER,
8	VS. NO. SD027039
9	NATAN AVRAHAM,
10	RESPONDENT.
11 ·	
12	
13	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	MAY 20, 2013
16	
17	
18	APPEARANCES:
19	FOR PETITIONER: BRETT A. BERMAN, ESQ.
20	
21	FOR RESPONDENT: IN PRO PER
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

1	SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, MAY 20, 2015
2	A.M. SESSION
3	(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
4	
5	THE COURT: NUMBER EIGHT, AVRAHAM, SD027039.
6	PLEASE COME FORWARD. I'LL ASK THE CLERK TO SWEAR IN THE
7	PARTIES.
8	
9	MIRI AVRAHAM, NATAN AVRAHAM,
10	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY ON THEIR OWN BEHALF, WERE
11	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
12	
13	THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN.
14	YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THE TESTIMONY YOU ARE
15	ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT
16	SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE
17	TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?
18	ME. AVRAHAM: YES.
19	MR. AVRAHAM: YES.
20	THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.
21	MS. AVRAHAM: MIRI AVRAHAM.
22	MR. AVRAHAM: NATAN AVRAHAM.
23	THE COURT: COUNSEL, IF YOU'LL STATE YOUR
24	APPEARANCE.
25	MR. BERMAN: BRETT BERMAN ON BEHALF OF THE
26	PETITIONER.
27	
28	HERE ON RESPONDENT'S REQUEST TO MODIFY THE JUDGMENT TO

(2)

AWARD THE SHENANDOAH PROPERTY TO RESPONDENT AS HIS SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY.

THE COURT HAS REVIEWED THE REQUEST AND THE RESPONSE. IN THIS CASE THE COURT ALREADY ENTERED A JUDGMENT SOME TIME AGO. THE JUDGMENT AWARDED, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE SHENANDOAH PROPERTY TO PETITIONER WHERE SHE LIVES WITH THE CHILDREN. THE PROPERTY WAS TO BE SOLD, BUT I UNDERSTAND THAT IT'S UNDER WATER OR OTHERWISE NOT -- THE SALE HAS NOT BEEN ACCOMPLISHED.

PAYING THE MORTGAGE AND THIS IS HURTING HIS CREDIT.

PETITIONER WANTS TO CONTINUE TO HAVE EXCLUSIVE

POSSESSION AND COMPLAINS ABOUT RESPONDENT GOING TO THE

GARAGE WHERE THE COURT JUDGMENT HAD ALLOWED HIM TO GO

FOR LIMITED PURPOSES.

MR. BERMAN, WHAT'S GOING ON -- IS THERE A SHORT SALE OR WHAT'S HAPPENING HERE?

MR. BERMAN: THERE IS NO -- MY UNDERSTANDING THERE IS NO SALE AT THE MOMENT. I DON'T BELIEVE THE PROPERTY IS ON THE MARKET AT THIS TIME.

THE COURT: IT WAS ON THE MARKET?

MR. BERMAN: IT WAS ON THE MARKET.

THE COURT: WHEN WAS THAT?

MR. BERMAN: ONE YEAR AGO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHAT HAPPENED WITH THAT?

MS. AVRAHAM: WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY --

MR. BERMAN: IT WASN'T SELLING.

THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU HAVE IT ON THE MARKET

FOR? WHAT PRICE -- WHAT WAS IT LISTED FOR? 1 MS. AVRAHAM: IT STARTED WITH 850 AND WE WENT DOWN 2 3 TO 750. THE COURT: WHAT'S THE DEBT AGAINST IT? 4 MS. AVRAHAM: 723. 5 THE COURT: AND WHY IS IT -- THE JUDGMENT IS FOR 6 7 IT TO BE SOLD; CORRECT? 8 MR. BERMAN: CORRECT. THE COURT: SO WHY ISN'T IT BEING SOLD? 9 MR. BERMAN: WELL, BOTH PARTIES HAVE SORT OF LET 10 THAT GO AT THE MOMENT. WE HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH IT BEING 11 12 PUT BACK ON THE MARKET. THAT'S NOT AN ISSUE AT THIS TIME. SO IF THE COURT -- OUR POSITION IS THE PARTIES 13 14 SHOULD ACT PURSUANT TO THE JUDGMENT, PUT IT BACK ON THE 15 MARKET AND PETITIONER WOULD CONTINUE TO LIVE IN THE HOUSE UNTIL IT'S SOLD AND WE WOULD MOVE ON. 16 17 THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT THAT, MR. AVRAHAM? MR. AVRAHAM: YOUR HONOR, I SERVE PROPERTY MANY 18 TIMES FROM MR. BERMAN, FROM THE CLIENT AND FROM THE 19 20 COURT. THE COURT: YOU DID WHAT? 21 22 MR. AVRAHAM: FROM THE COURT. 23 THE COURT: YOU DID WHAT? I DIDN'T HEAR --24 MR. AVRAHAM: I SERVED THE PROPERTY. 25 THE COURT: SET THE PROPT --MR. AVRAHAM: SERVED. THE PROPERTY HAVE TO GO 26 FORECLOSURE MANY TIMES. I SPEND A LOT OF MONEY. SHE 27 REFUSE TO COOPERATE. SHE WENT TO COURT MANY TIME TO 28

1.6

LOWER THE PRICE. THE COURT DIDN'T AGREE WITH THIS, TO RENT THE HOUSE, TO HAVE BOTH FORECLOSURE. THE COURT DIDN'T AGREE. MR. BERMAN CLIENT DIDN'T AGREE. I SPEND ALMOST TWO -- THREE YEARS I SPEND FOR THIS HOUSE A LOT OF MONEY. THIS IS THE ONLY OPPORTUNITY --

THE COURT: WELL, WHAT DO YOU WANT TO -
MR. AVRAHAM: SHE DESTROY MY RELATIONSHIP WITH MY

KID.

THE COURT: WE'RE NOT GOING TO ADDRESS THAT TODAY.

WHAT DO YOU THINK IT SHOULD SELL FOR?

MR. AVRAHAM: THIS CANNOT BE SOLD. TO SELL IT, WE HAVE A LOT OF PROBLEM. WE HAVE TO PUT MONEY FROM POCKET. WE HAVE TO HAVE -- I HAVE TO PAY A CAPITAL GAIN ON THIS PROPERTY AND WE HAVE TO PAY --

-THE COURT: MY QUESTION IS --

MR. AVRAHAM: -- BROKER FEE. WE HAVE TO SPEND A
LOT OF MONEY. I OFFER \$50,000 TO THE KID AND AWARD THE
PROPERTY. SHE DON'T WANT. SHE CLAIM SHE CARE ABOUT THE
KID. SHE DON'T WANT MONEY FOR THE KID. I DON'T
UNDERSTAND. I OFFER \$50,000 TO GIVE FOR THE KID JUST
WHY THE HOUSE HAVE TO GO FORECLOSURE. WHY I HAVE TO
SHORT SALE? WHY DO WE HAVE TO PUT MONEY FROM THE
MARKET? NO, I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THESE PEOPLE IN
COURT. I DON'T UNDERSTAND. I OFFER MONEY TO THESE
PEOPLE. NEVER CAME FOR COURT. I DON'T UNDERSTAND.
EXPLAIN TO COURT WHY YOU HERE. I GIVE --

THE COURT: SHE'S ONLY HERE, SIR, BECAUSE YOU FILED A REQUEST. SHE WOULDN'T BE HERE IF YOU HADN'T

BROUGHT THE MATTER TO COURT.

MR. AVRAHAM: NO, SHE DIDN'T ACCEPT MY OFFER. SHE COME TO THE COURT. SHE DON'T WANT MONEY.

THE COURT: SHE DOESN'T HAVE TO ACCEPT YOUR OFFER.

MR. AVRAHAM: NO, SHE DON'T WANT. WHAT SHE WANT?

SHE WANT THE HOUSE FOR SHORT SALE? SHE WANT ME TO PAY

MONEY? I SPEND A LOT OF MONEY. WHO IS GOING TO COVER

ALL THIS MONEY? I SPENT THREE YEARS ALMOST. SHE'S

LIVING IN THE HOUSE 23 MONTHS. SHE DOESN'T PAY THE

MORTGAGE.

MR. BERMAN: THAT'S NOT TRUE

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE SITUATION, MR. BERMAN.

MR. AVRAHAM: SHE DOESN'T PAY THE MONEY --

THE COURT: MR. AVRAHAM, SLOW DOWN.

MR. BERMAN, WHY HASN'T THE MORTGAGE BEEN

PAID?

MR. BERMAN: THE REASON -- AT TIMES IT HAS BEEN PAID, BUT WHAT HAPPENED WAS, BECAUSE THE HOUSE IS IN MR. ABRAHAM'S NAME SOLELY, MS. AVRAHAM DOESN'T HAVE ANY ACCESS TO THE MORTGAGE STATEMENTS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT, AND WHAT'S HAPPENING WAS WE WERE HERE TWO YEARS AGO ON THIS. THERE WAS NO PROOF THAT THE PAYMENTS WERE GOING TOWARD THE MORTGAGE AND SO THE COURT ORDERED TWO YEARS AGO, APPROXIMATELY, THAT MY CLIENT WOULD PAY HALF THE COST OF THE MORTGAGE DIRECTLY TO RESPONDENT AND ON THE 10TH OF EACH MONTH HE WOULD PROVIDE HER WITH A RECEIPT THAT THE MORTGAGE WAS BEING PAID. THAT WASN'T GETTING DONE. IT ISN'T HAPPENING. WE HAVE NO IDEA WHERE THE

MONEY IS GOING. SOMETIMES IT GETS PAID. SOMETIMES IT

COESN'T GET PAID.

THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT WE CHANGE THE ORDER SO THAT

IT SAYS SHE GIVES HIM A CHECK FOR HALF THE PAYMENT BUT
NOT PAYABLE TO HIM, BUT PAYABLE TO THE BANK?

MR. BERMAN: THAT WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE.

THE COURT: WHAT'S WRONG WITH THAT?

SUPPOSE TO BE SOLD. I AM NOT RESPONSIBLE TO PAY MORE
THE MONEY ANYMORE. I ALREADY PAID MORE AND I HAVE TO
FIND HOW I CAN HAVE THE CREDIT. THIS HAS TO BE SOLD. I
CIDN'T SIGN JUDGMENT TO -- I DIDN'T SIGN THE JUDGMENT -THIS JUDGEMENT ENFORCED BY THE COURT ON ME. I NOT
SUPPOSE -- THIS HOUSE SUPPOSE TO BE SOLD IMMEDIATELY ON
THE HOUSE. MR. BERMAN TWIST BETWEEN THE BUILDING AND
THE HOUSE. THE BUILDING SOLD IN 30 MONTHS. HE GOT 17
AND A HALF THOUSAND DOLLAR FEE ON THE BUILDING WHEN IT
HAVE INCOME, AND HE DIDN'T SOLD THE HOUSE WHEN HE HAVE
1,400 NEGATIVE. NOW, I DON'T HAVE TO KEEP PAYING FOR
THIS HOUSE. THE HOUSE HAVE TO GO. I MANAGE THE HOUSE.
SHE DON'T WANT THE HOUSE. I MANAGE THE HOUSE. I BE
RESPONSIBLE FOR ENTIRE MORTGAGE.

THE COURT: SIR, THE WAY I SEE THIS, WHAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN HERE IS FOR IT TO BE LISTED FOR SALE AGAIN.

MR. AVRAHAM: I SPENT A LOT OF MONEY. THE

GOVERNMENT HELP ME --

THE COURT: MR. AVRAHAM, DO YOU WANT TO LIST THE PROPERTY FOR SALE, YES OR NO?

MR. AVRAHAM: NO, I DON'T WANT TO LIST IT FOR SALE. I WANT TO TAKE MANAGEMENT CONTROL. I BE LIABLE FOR ENTIRE MORTGAGE. I DON'T HAVE TO BE -- AND I HAVE TO FIX A LOT IN THE HOUSE. I RESPONSIBLE TO FIX IT. THEN WE PUT IT ON THE MARKET VALUE, RENT MARKET VALUE AND I PAY THE MARKET VALUE AND THAT'S IT --THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT THAT HE PAYS THE MARKET VALUE FOR THE PROPERTY?

MR. BERMAN: THAT WOULD NOT BE ACCEPTABLE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHY NOT?

- -

13 |

: :

MR. BERMAN: BECAUSE THIS IS -- BECAUSE WE COULD 3 GO THE OTHER WAY WITH THAT. THE HOUSE WAS ORDERED TO BE SCLD. WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN HERE IS THIS HOUSE IS GIVEN TO MR. AVRAHAM, HE'S GOING TO SIT IN THE HOUSE. IT'S NOT GOING TO SELL --

THE COURT: I'M NOT SAYING HE GETS TO HAVE POSSESSION, I'M SAYING THAT THE ORDER IS FOR THE HOUSE TO BE SOLD. SHE COULD BUY IT. HE COULD BUY IT. THIRD PERSON COULD BUY IT. SO SOMEBODY NEEDS TO BUY IT. I DON'T CARE HOW IT HAPPENS. HE COULD BUY IT IF HE PAYS FAIR MARKET VALUE FOR IT. SHE CAN BUY IT IF SHE WANTS TO PAY FAIR MARKET VALUE FOR IT, OR YOU CAN LIST IT AGAIN AND A THIRD PERSON CAN PAY FAIR MARKET VALUE. SOMETHING HAS TO GIVE HERE, BECAUSE I THINK THE RESPONDENT DOES HAVE A POINT THAT EVEN IF HE'S NOT PAYING THE MORTGAGE HE'S LIABLE FOR PAYING THE MORTGAGE AND THE COURT ORDER IS THAT IT BE SOLD. AND IF IT'S NOT THIS PROPERTY IS GOING TO BE SOLD SOONER RATHER THAN LATER SO THAT THE COURT DOESN'T HAVE TO TAKE SOME OTHER ACTION.

MR. BERMAN: WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO DISCUSS HOW TO SET THE PROPERTY SOLD. I ANTICIPATE THERE IS GOING TO BE A PROBLEM WITH WHAT THE FAIR MARKET VALUE IS BASED ON THE HISTORY OF THIS CASE, BUT I GUESS WE'LL CROSS THAT BRIDGE WHEN WE GET TO IT.

THE COURT: WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS SCHEDULE THIS FOR HEARING IN 30 DAYS. I'M GOING TO CONTINUE THIS HEARING FOR 30 DAYS TO ALLOW BOTH SIDES TO BRING IN \_\_\_\_ EVIDENCE AS TO THE FAIR MARKET VALUE, CURRENT FAIR - -MARKET VALUE OF THIS PROPERTY. BOTH SIDES CAN PUT IN ` ÷ FROPOSALS TO BUY IT FROM THE OTHER SIDE. MR. AVRAHAM CAN SAY, "I'M GOING TO PAY 750 FOR THIS," AND THEN HE'S GOING TO NEED TO SAY HOW HE'S GOING TO PAY FOR IT, WHATEVER THE DIFFERENCE IS, OVER THE MORTGAGE. LIKEWISE, PETITIONER CAN COME IN AND SAY, "I WANT TO BUY IT, " FOR WHATEVER PRICE, AND IF SHE'S WILLING TO PAY MORE THAN HE IS OR IF HE'S WILLING TO PAY MORE THAN SHE IS THEN WHOEVER OFFERS THE HIGHEST PRICE IS TO GET THE PROPERTY AS LONG AS THEY CAN FILL THE TERMS. AND IF THAT DOESN'T -- I'LL GIVE THE PARTIES THE OPTION TO BUY IT FIRST, IF NEITHER PARTY CAN DO THAT THEN IT NEEDS TO 3E LISTED AGAIN, AND I'LL DECIDE WHAT THE LISTING PRICE

SHOULD BE BASED ON EVIDENCE THAT YOU PRESENT. IS THAT FAIR? MR. AVRAHAM: YES. THE COURT: PETITIONER? MR. BERMAN: MS. AVRAHAM IS LEAVING TOWN OUT OF THE COUNTRY, SO THE RETURN DATE MAY BE A BIT --THE COURT: FIND OUT WHEN IS A GOOD RETURN DATE. MR. BERMAN: SHE'S BACK ON +-MS. AVRAHAM: JULY 30TH. THE COURT: WHEN IS PETITIONER LEAVING? MS. AVRAHAM: I'M LEAVING IN A MONTH. THE COURT: LET'S DO IT BEFORE YOU LEAVE. WHEN 13 ARE YOU LEAVING? MS. AVRAHAM: I'M LEAVING JUNE 21ST. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO LET'S DO IT ON JUNE 18 19TH. MR. BERMAN: I'M NOT AVAILABLE THAT DAY. THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT THE 18TH OR THE 17TH? THE : : 17 ITH IS BETTER FOR THE COURT. MR. BERMAN: I'M OUT OF TOWN THE 17TH. THE 18TH WOULD WORK, IF THE COURT CAN ACCOMMODATE US. THE COURT: HOW ABOUT 10:30 ON JUNE 18TH? THIS MATTER IS CONTINUED TO 10:30 ON JUNE <u>:</u> : 14 18TH. BOTH PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO FILE AND SERVE BY JUNE 11TH THEIR CONTENTIONS AS FAR AS THE FAIR MARKET THEY WISH TO BUY IT, HOW THEY'RE GOING TO BUY IT AND WHETHER, IT'S CASH, FINANCING. SO EITHER SIDE CANNOT JUST COME IN HERE AND SAY, "I'LL PAY SID FOR IT." THAT WON'T BE A VALID OFFER
IF IT DOESN'T SHOW BOW OF WHAT MONEY THEY'RE GOING TO
USE OR WHAT MONEY IS AVAILABLE TO USE IT. SO I
APPRECIATE THAT BITH PARTIES CREDIT MAY NOT BE VERY
GOOD, BASED ON THE COURT'S PROWLEDGE OF THIS CASE, SO TO
COME IN AND SAY, "I'M GOING TO SET FINANCING," YOU NEED
TO COME IN WITH PROOF IF QUALIFICATION. IN OTHER WORDS,
I WANT A LENDER -- IF SIT INTEND TO BUY IT FOR WHATEVER
PRICE AND YOU WANT IT FINANCE IT, I WANT A LETTER FROM A
LENDER SAYING THAT THEY'PE WILLING TO LOAN YOU THAT
MONEY. THAT GOES FOR BITH SIDES.
SO STIPULATED: MF. ABRAHAM?
MR. AVRAHAM: YES.

MR. BERMAN: THAT'S SI STIPULATED.

I CAN REPRESENT TO THE COURT THAT

MS. AVRAHAM IS NOT GOING TO BUY THE HOUSE, SO IT WOULD

EITHER BE MR. AVRAHAM OR A THIRD PARTY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I STILL NEED FROM THE

PETITIONER HER VIEW OF THE CUFRENT FAIR MARKET VALUE.

AND ALSO IF SHE DOESN'T WANT TO BUY IT AND THE OTHER

SIDE'S OFFER IS NOT ACCEPTED BY HER, THEN I WANT YOUR

PROPOSAL AS TO A LISTING BROKER. BOTH SIDES TO SUBMIT

MAMES OF LISTING BROKERS WHO ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE

MEIGHBORHOOD WHERE THE HOUSE IS SITUATED. I NEED THAT

BY JUNE 11TH AS WELL.

I'M GOING TO ASK COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER TO

GIVE -- PREPARE AN ORDER SO IT'S CLEAR WHAT THE COURT

HAS DONE.

```
1
         MR. BERMAN! IF I COULD ALSO GET A VALID ADDRESS
2
   FOR MR. AVRAHAM. I'VE BEEN HAVING TROUBLE ---
3
         MR. AVRAHAM: YOU HAVE IT! I GAVE IT TO YOU.
4
         THE COURT: HIS MOTION SHOWS THIS P.O. BOX.
         MR. AVRAHAM: YES. ON SEPTEMBER 29TH YOU ASKED
5
    1125 AND A QUARTER SOUTH DOHENY LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
6
7
    90035.
          THE COURT: SIR, YOU'VE USED THIS P.O. BOX AS YOUR
8
9
    LEGAL ADDRESS.
                 IS THAT STILL VALID?
10
         MR. AVRAHAM: YES.
11
         THE COURT: THEN JUST SERVE IT AT THE P.O. BOX.
12
          MR. BERMAN: I DON'T HAVE A STREET LOCATION.
13
          MR. AVRAHAM: DOHENY. THE P.O. BOX. PHYSICAL
14
    ADDRESS 1125 AND A QUARTER SOUTH DOHENY, LOS ANGELES,
15
   CALIFOFNIA 90035.
16
          THE COURT: MR. AVRAHAM, YOU NEED TO FILE WITH THE
17
    COURT A CORRECT STATEMENT.
18
          MR. AVRAHAM: IT'S FILED FROM SEPTEMBER 29.
19
    SEPTEMBER 29, 2010 YOU ASK ME WHETHER I HAVE ADDRESS,
20
    PHYSICAL ADDRESS, AND IT'S SUPPOSE TO BE IN COURT.
21
          THE COURT: LET'S JUST DO IT ONE LAST TIME.
22
                 WHAT'S YOUR ADDRESS?
23
          MR. AVRAHAM: 1125 AND A QUARTER SOUTH DOHENY, LOS
24
    ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90035.
25
          THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE THAT?
26
         MR. BERMAN: I DO. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
27
          THE COURT: THANK YOU,
28
```