

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

3
4 DEPARTMENT WE-F HON. MATTHEW ST. GEORGE, COMMISSIONER

5
6 MIRI AVRAHAM,)

7 PETITIONER,)

8 VS.)

NO. SD027039

9 NATAN AVRAHAM,)

10 RESPONDENT.)

11
12
13
14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

15 SEPTEMBER 9, 2013

16
17
18
19 APPEARANCES:

20 FOR PETITIONER:

BRETT BERMAN, ESQ.

21
22 FOR RESPONDENT:

IN PRO PER

23
24
25
26 COPY

27
28 LISA MCLAY, CSR NO. 10765
OFFICIAL REPORTER

1 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY,

2 A.M. SESSION

3 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)

4
5 THE COURT: EX PARTE, MIRI AVRAHAM VERSUS NATAN
6 AVRAHAM, SD027039.

7 MR. BERMAN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, BRETT
8 BERMAN ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER, WHO IS NOT PRESENT.

9 MR. AVRAHAM: NATAN AVRAHAM.

10 THE COURT: THIS IS HERE ON AN EX PARTE BY THE
11 PETITIONER TO CONSOLIDATE -- I GUESS WOULD BE THE BEST
12 WAY TO SUMMARIZE IT -- TO CONSOLIDATE THE THREE HEARING
13 DATES WHICH ARE CURRENTLY SET IN THIS MATTER ON ONE DAY.
14 THE THREE DATES THAT ARE SET IS FIRST THERE'S A HEARING
15 DATE SET FOR THIS WEDNESDAY AND THAT WAS AN R.F.O. FILED
16 BY THE RESPONDENT REGARDING REIMBURSEMENT OF FUNDS FROM
17 ESCROW, AND THAT WAS SET FOR SEPTEMBER 11TH. I WOULD
18 NOTE THAT THAT WAS FILED ON AUGUST 14TH, WHICH IS TWO
19 DAYS AFTER THE LAST COURT HEARING.

20 THE SECOND HEARING DATE IS A REQUEST FOR
21 RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER OF AUGUST 12TH.
22 THAT IS CURRENTLY SET FOR OCTOBER 1ST.

23 AND THEN FINALLY AS A RESULT OF THE AUGUST
24 12TH HEARING, WHEN THE COURT DID RULE ON THE EARLIER
25 R.F.O. FILED BY THE RESPONDENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS
26 WAS SOLE -- WHETHER HE COULD TAKE THE PROPERTY --
27 SHENANDOAH PROPERTY AS SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY, THE
28 COURT DID RULE ON THAT AND DENIED IT SAYING THAT THE

1 JUDGMENT THAT HAD BEEN STIPULATED TO GAVE THAT TO THE
2 PETITIONER.

3 IT DOES MAKE SENSE IN TERMS OF THE
4 ECONOMY -- COURT ECONOMY, THAT THESE MATTERS BE
5 CONSOLIDATED, PARTICULARLY BECAUSE ON AUGUST 12 IN THIS
6 ORDER, THE COURT INDICATED THAT BEFORE I COULD MAKE ANY
7 DECISION ON WHAT HAPPENED TO THE FUNDS IN ESCROW, I'D
8 NEED SOME SORT OF ACCOUNTING, WHICH THE REQUEST FOR
9 REIMBURSEMENT DOES NOT -- BUT COULD BE INCORPORATED
10 INTO, I SHOULD SAY, BECAUSE THERE ARE THINGS GOING ON
11 WITH THOSE FUNDS THAT ARE SORT OF HAPPENING INDEPENDENT
12 OF ANYTHING THE COURT HAS GOING.

13 THERE APPARENTLY WAS SOME SORT OF APPEAL TO
14 THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD; IS THAT CORRECT, COUNSEL?

15 MR. BERMAN: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

16 THE COURT: WHAT ARE YOU SAYING HAPPENED TO THAT?
17 I SAW THERE WAS AN APPEAL THAT WAS TO BE HEARD ON JULY
18 15TH. THAT, I ASSUME, WAS AN ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL?

19 MR. BERMAN: THAT, I'M NOT SURE OF. WHAT I DO
20 KNOW IS THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD -- AND I HAVE A
21 LETTER -- HAS RELEASED MS. AVRAHAM OF LIABILITY. THAT'S
22 FRANCHISE TAX BOARD. I.R.S. DID GRANT THE INNOCENT
23 SPOUSE, SO THEY GRANTED THAT AS WELL. THAT'S WHAT I
24 KNOW.

25 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO THAT WOULD HAVE SOME
26 IMPACT ON WHAT WE DID WITH THE FUNDS IN ESCROW. AND
27 THAT COULD ALL BE HEARD AT THE SAME TIME THAT WE HEARD
28 THIS AT RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR REIMBURSEMENT, BECAUSE

1 IT WOULD BE PART OF THE COURT'S DECISION. IT WOULD ALSO
2 WRAP IT UP WITH THE AUGUST 12TH REQUEST FOR SOME SORT OF
3 ACCOUNTING.

4 AS FAR AS YOUR RECONSIDERATION, SIR, YOU
5 DID FILE THAT WITHIN 10 DAYS, SO IT'S A PROPER REQUEST
6 FOR RECONSIDERATION. I PRESUME I'LL BE HEARING FROM THE
7 OTHER SIDE AS TO WHY I SHOULDN'T GRANT IT, BUT THEY CAN
8 RESPOND TO THAT IN DUE COURSE.

9 BUT IT STRIKES ME THAT THE REIMBURSEMENT
10 REQUEST AND THE AUGUST 12TH ORDER SORT OF ROLL INTO ONE,
11 AND THEN YOU'RE ASKING FOR A RECONSIDERATION OF WHAT I
12 DID ON AUGUST 12TH. SO THAT MAKES IT SORT OF THE THREE
13 OF THEM CAN BE COMBINED. IT WOULD CERTAINLY BE JUDICIAL
14 ECONOMY TO DO SO, BUT I KNOW YOU'RE OPPOSING IT.

15 WOULD YOU STATE YOUR REASONS, PLEASE.

16 MR. AVRAHAM: REGARD THE F.T.B., HAS NOTHING.
17 F.T.B. RELEASE HER FOR LIABILITY. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO
18 WITH ESCROW. F.T.B. TOOK NO MONEY FROM THE WILSHIRE
19 ESCROW. HE JUST MISLEADING THE COURT. THE F.T.B. TOOK
20 MY MONEY. IF RELEASE HER, SHE NOT HAVE TO PAY. STILL
21 COMMUNITY DEBT, HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE FUND.
22 ACCORDING TO THE JUDGMENT, IT'S MY FUND. WE ALL -- AND
23 YOU CAN SEE THIS ON THE FILING.

24 THE COURT: THIS IS THE FUNDS THAT ARE IN ESCROW
25 OR THE -- BECAUSE THERE'S THE SHENANDOAH PROPERTY. I
26 GUESS THERE'S ALSO THE BARRINGTON PROPERTY.

27 MR. BERMAN: IT'S FROM THE BARRINGTON ESCROW.

28 MR. AVRAHAM: THAT'S FROM BARRINGTON. ACCORDING

1 TO THE JUDGMENT I FILED, THIS IS MY FUND, BELONG TO ME.
2 THE COURT: BARRINGTON?

3 MR. AVRAHAM: THAT IS WHAT I REQUEST. ACCORDING
4 TO THE JUDGMENT, IT'S MY FUND. HAVE TO BE RELEASED
5 THREE YEARS AGO. AND HE WAS TELLING ME ALWAYS IF I GIVE
6 HIM HIS MONEY, HE RELEASE ME ALL THIS TIME. MY EX-WIFE,
7 HIS CLIENT, TELL ME, "LET'S SPLIT THE MONEY BEFORE
8 I.R.S. AND F.T.B. ARE AFTER THIS MONEY." I HAVE NOTE
9 FROM HER. ACCORDING TO THE JUDGMENT YOU HAVE PROVING
10 THE FILING, IT'S MY MONEY.

11 I KNOW COMMISSIONER COWAN DOESN'T LIKE ME,
12 ABUSE HIS POWER AGAINST ME, DESTROY MY LIFE FOR FOUR
13 YEARS AND HE -- AND YOU SEE OBJECTION ABOUT MR. BERMAN,
14 DESTROY ENTIRE COMMUNITY. HE ALLOWED BY COMMISSIONER
15 COWAN TO DESTROY EVERYTHING. DOESN'T MEAN COMMISSIONER
16 COWAN DOESN'T LIKE ME, YOU HAVE TO DESTROY HIS CLIENT
17 AND MY CHILDREN. THAT'S WHAT HE DID.

18 THE COURT: MR. AVRAHAM, I HAVE READ THE
19 STATEMENTS YOU'VE MADE IN YOUR PLEADINGS REGARDING
20 COMMISSIONER COWAN. IN FACT, THEY GOT TO THE POINT
21 WHERE YOU HAD TO BE CONTACTED BY COURT SECURITY. SO IT
22 IS NOT ASSISTING YOUR CASE TO MAKE ASPERSIONS AGAINST
23 COMMISSIONER COWAN.

24 MR. AVRAHAM: OBJECT --

25 THE COURT: HOLD ON.

26 I WANT TO HEAR REAL FAST. THE FACT IS THIS
27 IS A DISSOLUTION PROCEEDING BETWEEN YOU AND YOUR
28 EX-WIFE, AND COMMISSIONER COWAN IS JUST DOING THE BEST

1 HE CAN IN A VERY DIFFICULT CASE --

2 MR. AVRAHAM: HE WAS AGAINST ME.

3 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND YOU'RE UNHAPPY, BUT THE
4 COURT RESPECTS COMMISSIONER COWAN AND HIS DECISIONS --

5 MR. AVRAHAM: I RESPECT HIM, TOO, JUST HE CANNOT
6 ABUSE HIS POWER --

7 THE COURT: SIR, I'M NOT IN A POSITION TO REVISIT
8 ANYTHING COMMISSIONER COWAN DID. I'M NOT AN APPELLATE
9 COURT, SO WE'RE MOVING ON. AND I DON'T WISH TO HEAR
10 STUFF ABOUT COMMISSIONER COWAN UNLESS THEY'RE BASED ON
11 FACTS. IF YOU CAN SHOW ME -- AND THIS WILL BE ON A
12 FUTURE DATE -- YOU NEED TO SHOW ME THAT THERE'S A
13 JUDGMENT THAT SAYS THE BARRINGTON FUNDS, WHICH ARE IN
14 ESCROW, ARE YOUR SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY. AND IF
15 IT'S THERE, THEN I WILL CERTAINLY CONSIDER THAT SUBJECT
16 TO WHATEVER ARGUMENT IS MADE BY THE PETITIONER. BUT I
17 WANT YOU TO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS OBSESSION ON
18 COMMISSIONER COWAN IS NOT HELPING YOU AND YOU NEED TO
19 MOVE ON.

20 MR. AVRAHAM: THE JUDGMENT SHOW IT'S MY FUND.

21 MR. BERMAN: YOUR HONOR --

22 THE COURT: WE'LL LOOK AT THAT.

23 BUT WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET YOU RIGHT NOW IS
24 THE PETITIONER HAS REQUESTED A CONSOLIDATION BECAUSE
25 THE -- AS I EXPLAINED, IT DOES SEEM THAT A CONSOLIDATION
26 WOULD BE JUSTIFIED, BECAUSE FOR JUDICIAL ECONOMY IT WILL
27 ALLOW US TO DEAL WITH ALL THE ISSUES THAT WE'VE RAISED
28 IN ONE HEARING RATHER THAN THREE SEPARATE ONES WHERE

1 NEITHER ONE WOULD BE CONCLUSIVE.

2 MR. AVRAHAM: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE HERE A HUGE
3 PROBLEM. HIS CLIENT TRANSFER MILLION DOLLAR FROM THE
4 INCOME --

5 MR. BERMAN: I'M GOING TO OBJECT --

6 MR. AVRAHAM: THIS CANNOT BE DONE IN ONE DAY. WE
7 HAVE TO GO TO APPROVE THIS. SHE TRANSFER MILLION DOLLAR
8 TO ISRAEL. COMMUNITY RESPONSIBLE TO PAY ALL THE TAXES.
9 CANNOT BE ALL DONE IN ONE DAY. THE COURT HAVE NO TIME.
10 THE COURT HAD NO TIME TO SIGN A JUDGMENT, NOT ACCORDING
11 TO THE AGREEMENT. THAT'S WHAT COMMISSIONER COWAN SAY.
12 HE HAVE NO TIME.

13 I CANNOT HAVE FOUR MORE MISTAKE BY THE
14 COURT. WE HAVE TO DO ONE OF THE TIME BECAUSE I HAVE NO
15 MONEY FOR LAWYER. WE HAVE TO GO PART OF THE TIME. THE
16 TAX ISSUE IS HUGE ISSUE. IS A TRIAL ISSUE. IT'S NOT A
17 COURT HALF DAY OR ONE DAY. IT'S A TRIAL ISSUE. IT'S A
18 MILLION DOLLAR. HE GIVE ME EVIDENCE THE COMMUNITY
19 DIDN'T REPORT CAPITAL GAIN. HE CERTIFY ACCOUNTANT. HE
20 GIVE ME EVIDENCE. THEN HIS CLIENT TAKE CAPITAL GAIN AND
21 SHE DON'T WANT TO PAY THE TAX. AND THE INCOME
22 APPROVE --

23 MR. BERMAN: I'M GOING TO OBJECT. THERE'S NO
24 FOUNDATION FOR ANY OF THIS. I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THE MAN
25 IS TALKING ABOUT.

26 AND, FURTHERMORE, IT'S MY GOAL TO GET OUT
27 OF THIS CASE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE BECAUSE I FEEL
28 THREATENED BY THIS MAN. HE'S MADE EXTREMELY

1 INFLAMMATORY COMMENTS TO ME ON THE PHONE ABOUT WHAT HE
2 WILL DO TO HIMSELF IF THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD MAKES
3 DECISIONS THAT HE DOESN'T LIKE. I PUT THOSE IN MY
4 PLEADINGS.

5 MR. AVRAHAM: OBJECTION --

6 THE COURT: HOLD ON.

7 MR. BERMAN: I HAD TO CONTACT THE POLICE ON APRIL
8 18TH BECAUSE I WAS GETTING INCESSANT PHONE CALLS AT 4:00
9 IN THE MORNING FROM MR. AVRAHAM. I THINK THE MAN HAS AN
10 OBSESSION WITH ME AS WELL AND I JUST WANT TO GET OUT OF
11 THIS CASE AT THIS POINT. I WANT TO FINISH UP THESE
12 HEARINGS -- AND MY CLIENT KNOWS THIS, I'M NOT A -- I'M
13 JUST NOT WILLING TO TAKE THE ABUSE.

14 MR. AVRAHAM: OBJECTION. YOUR HONOR, EVEN WHAT
15 HAPPEN, YOU CAN SEE HE'S FIGHTING FOR NO MONEY. WE
16 SPENT 40-, \$50,000 ON PROPERTY NO HAVE EQUITY. I OFFER
17 120,000 TO MY KID TO FINISH WITH IT. HE DON'T WANT. HE
18 STILL WANT TO FIGHT. HIS CLIENT HAVE A PROBLEM. I HAVE
19 RELATIONSHIP WITH THE WOMAN AND SHE PROMISE TO MY FRIEND
20 TO BURY ME IN THE GROUND. AND I HAVE A WITNESS. I HAVE
21 TO FIGHT WITH -- MY KID DOING DRUG AND ALCOHOL IN THE
22 HOUSE. I'M PAYING MORTGAGE FOR MY KID TO DO THIS.

23 THE COURT: MR. AVRAHAM, THIS HEARING IS SOLELY
24 DEDICATED TO WHETHER OR NOT THE COURT SHOULD CONSIDER
25 CONSOLIDATION OF THESE THREE REQUEST FOR ORDERS INTO ONE
26 HEARING. AS I'VE EXPLAINED, I THINK THERE'S GOOD REASON
27 TO DO IT. I UNDERSTAND YOU'RE FEELING VERY AGGRIEVED BY
28 THE WHOLE PROCESS --

1 MR. AVRAHAM: YOUR HONOR --

2 THE COURT: SIR --

3 MR. AVRAHAM: I HAVE TO CONTINUE, YOUR HONOR.

4 I DON'T SLEEP IN THE NIGHT BECAUSE OF THAT
5 (INDICATING). I CANNOT -- ON MY ENTIRE LIFE I DON'T
6 HAVE DRINK AND DRUG AND ALCOHOL IN THE HOUSE. I CANNOT
7 CONTINUE. MY KID VERY IMPORTANT FOR ME. I WORK ENTIRE
8 LIFE FOR MY KID. MY KID IS MY ENTIRE LIFE. THIS IS
9 VERY IMPORTANT FOR THE GOVERNMENT, FOR THE COURT TO STOP
10 THIS IMMEDIATELY. THAT'S WHAT'S HAPPENING IN MY HOUSE.
11 THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR EVERYBODY. THE KID HAVE
12 NO -- I HAVE TO GO TO CONVINCED THIS IS NOT GOOD. THIS
13 IS THE ONLY WAY HIS CLIENT CAN HURT ME, TO HAVE MY KID
14 BECAUSE SHE KNOW I'M AGAINST THAT AND SHE DID --

15 THE COURT: THE PROBLEM I'M HAVING, SIR, AND,
16 AGAIN, IT'S AN ISSUE THAT RISES FROM YOUR
17 MISUNDERSTANDING OF THE LEGAL PROCESS. THERE'S A
18 JUDGMENT IN THIS CASE, AND ONCE THERE'S BEEN A JUDGMENT,
19 AS YOU SAW EARLIER, THE COURT WILL NOT DEAL WITH THINGS
20 UNLESS THERE'S BEEN A CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCES.

21 NOW, CLEARLY THE SALE OF THOSE PROPERTIES
22 IS A CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCE, WHICH THE COURT IS GOING TO
23 DEAL WITH AND THAT'S WHAT THESE REQUEST FOR ORDERS ARE
24 ABOUT. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH LIQUOR IN A HOUSE. IT
25 HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH YOUR HURT FEELINGS OR HOW THESE
26 PROCEEDINGS HAVE GONE. I'M NOT A COUNSEL, I'M HERE AS A
27 JUDGE.

28 MR. AVRAHAM: ALREADY DECIDE I CAN BUY THE HOUSE.

1 THE COURT ALREADY DECIDE. IT'S NOTHING TO DO WITH THE
2 FUNDS ON WILSHIRE ESCROW. I'M READY TO BUY THE HOUSE.

3 THE COURT: THAT'S AN AUGUST 12TH ORDER. IF YOU
4 CAN COME UP WITH THE MONEY, YOU CAN BUY THE HOUSE. IT'S
5 RIGHT THERE.

6 MR. AVRAHAM: SHE DON'T WANT ME. I'M OFFERING TO
7 BUY, SHE DON'T WANT. I CAN OFFER NOW MORE 50,000 FOR
8 THE KID AND SHE -- SHE DON'T WANT IT. SHE WANT TO BURY
9 ME IN THE GROUND. I OFFER MORE 50,000 FOR MY KID --

10 THE COURT: SIR, THERE'S BEEN EXTRAORDINARY
11 STATEMENTS ALLEGED BY BOTH SIDES. I'VE SEEN THE ONES
12 MADE BY YOU. I HAVEN'T SEEN THE ONES ALLEGEDLY BY HER.

13 MR. BERMAN: HE HAS NO EVIDENCE OF THE ONES BY MY
14 CLIENT.

15 MR. AVRAHAM: SHE SAY SHE CANNOT PAY THE MORTGAGE
16 BECAUSE SHE DID NOT GO TO THE BANK. SHE HAVE A LETTER.
17 SHE COME TO THE COURT. I WENT TO THE BANK. I PAY THE
18 MORTGAGE. SHE WENT TO THE BANK, NOW SHE SAY I GO DIRECT
19 TO THE BANK. EVERYBODY CAN PAY THE MORTGAGE. AND SHE
20 HAVE THIS AND SHE CONNECT WITH THE BANK, COMMUNICATE
21 WITH THE BANK, SHE SAID SHE CANNOT COMMUNICATE WITH THE
22 BANK.

23 THE COURT: MR. AVRAHAM --

24 MR. AVRAHAM: SHE LIVE IN THE HOUSE 27 MONTHS,
25 SHE'S NOT PAYING THE MORTGAGE.

26 THE COURT: -- HERE'S WHAT I'M GOING TO DO, I AM
27 GOING TO CONSOLIDATE THESE HEARINGS. RATHER THAN HAVE
28 THEM ON THE 9TH -- I DIDN'T RECALL SEEING, COUNSEL, WAS

1 THERE A PROBLEM WITH THE 1ST?

2 MR. BERMAN: YES. I'M IN DEPARTMENT 79 ON THE
3 1ST.

4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN WE'LL CONSOLIDATE ALL
5 THESE MATTERS. THE R.F.O. SET FOR THIS WEDNESDAY WILL
6 BE ADVANCED AND CONTINUED, AND THAT'S THE R.F.O.
7 INVOLVING REIMBURSEMENT OF THE FUNDS IN ESCROW. THAT
8 WILL BE ADVANCED AND CONTINUED TO OCTOBER 9TH.

9 WITH REGARDS TO THE COURT'S RECONSIDERATION
10 OF THE AUGUST 12 ORDER, THAT IS SET FOR OCTOBER 1ST.
11 THAT WILL BE ADVANCED AND CONTINUED TO OCTOBER 9.

12 AND THE COURT HAS ALREADY SAID THAT ANY
13 ACCOUNTING OF THE FUNDS IN ESCROW SHOULD BE PRESENTED ON
14 OCTOBER 9. THE ISSUE THAT WAS RAISED IN THE APRIL 18,
15 2013 R.F.O. IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT REQUESTED THAT THE
16 SHENANDOAH PROPERTY BE DEEMED HIS SOLE AND SEPARATE
17 PROPERTY, THAT WAS DENIED AND THAT'S IN THE AUGUST 12
18 ORDER WHICH IS GOING TO BE RECONSIDERED.

19 NOW, WE NEED A BRIEFING SCHEDULE. THESE
20 ARE ALL THE RESPONDENT'S REQUESTS. I'D LIKE ANY
21 RESPONSIVE DECLARATION TO ALL THREE ISSUES. YOU COULD
22 FILE THEM EITHER AS SEPARATE AS TO EACH R.F.O. OR AS ONE
23 DOCUMENT, AS LONG AS YOU MAKE IT CLEAR IN THE DOCUMENT
24 WHAT YOU'RE RESPONDING TO. MAYBE IN THREE SEPARATE
25 SECTIONS. THOSE SHOULD BE FILED BY SEPTEMBER 23RD.

26 MR. AVRAHAM, YOU WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY
27 TO RESPOND TO WHAT HE FILES. AND THAT SHOULD BE FILED
28 NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 2ND. AND THEN I'LL SEE YOU BACK

1 HERE ON OCTOBER 9.

2 NOW, MR. AVRAHAM, I'M GOING TO SAY
3 SOMETHING BECAUSE I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO HEAR THIS.
4 YOU HAVE REALLY GOTTEN YOURSELF WAY TOO INVOLVED IN THIS
5 EMOTIONALLY. I UNDERSTAND IT'S EMOTIONAL --

6 MR. AVRAHAM: IT'S MY LIFE, YOUR HONOR. AND MY
7 KID. MY KID IS MY LIFE. IF I DON'T HAVE MY KID, I JUST
8 RUN AWAY FROM THIS AND THAT'S IT. I CANNOT RUN AWAY
9 WITH MY KID. MY KID IS MY ENTIRE LIFE.

10 THE COURT: I SEE THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT TO YOU,
11 SO WHAT YOU NEED TO DO IS FIND COUNSEL.

12 MR. AVRAHAM: I DON'T NEED HELP. I NEED THE COURT
13 TO RELEASE MY LIFE. I DON'T NEED HELP. CANNOT BE --
14 THE COURT CANNOT DESTROY ME. THE COMMISSIONER COWAN
15 CANNOT DESTROY ME. AND I DO EVERYTHING FOR HIM TO BE
16 UNDER INVESTIGATION. THE GOVERNMENT -- HE HAVE TO PUT
17 HIM UNDER INVESTIGATION. I'M GOING TO DO EVERYTHING I
18 SAY. COMMISSIONER COWAN MUST BE UNDER INVESTIGATION.
19 HE DESTROY MY LIFE AND MY KID SUFFERING. I DON'T NEED
20 HELP. NOBODY NEEDS HELP BECAUSE THE LAW DESTROY THEM.
21 SOMEBODY CLAIM HE CARRY THE LAW AND DESTROY. NO, YOU
22 CAN CANNOT. IF HE RELEASE MY LIFE, I DON'T NEED HELP.
23 I'M VERY STRONG PERSON. EVERYBODY DON'T BELIEVE HOW I'M
24 DOING WHAT I'M DOING.

25 THE COURT: I HEAR YOU'RE A VERY STRONG PERSON,
26 BUT YOU DO NOT HAVE LEGAL TRAINING. AND IN ORDER TO
27 RESPOND --

28 MR. AVRAHAM: YOUR HONOR, LIKE I SAID, NOBODY

1 GOING TO UNDERSTAND --

2 THE COURT: SIR --

3 MR. AVRAHAM: NOBODY IS GOING TO UNDERSTAND, TOO.

4 THE COURT: SIR, IF YOU HAVE AN ADVOCATE, A LEGAL
5 ADVOCATE, HE WILL -- HE OR SHE CAN REPRESENT YOUR POINT
6 OF VIEW FAR MORE EFFECTIVELY THAN YOU'RE DOING BECAUSE
7 YOU'RE TOO CLOSE TO IT. THAT'S WHY WE GET LAWYERS. SO
8 PLEASE FIND LEGAL HELP. GO TO A LEGAL AID SOCIETY, GO
9 TO SOMEBODY. YOU SAY YOU DON'T HAVE THE MONEY, THEN
10 FIND SOME LOW COST LEGAL AID TO ASSIST YOU IN
11 RESPONDING, BECAUSE UNTIL DO YOU SO, IT'S REALLY
12 DIFFICULT FOR THE COURT TO ASSIST YOU.

13 MR. AVRAHAM: YOU SEE, THE MONEY, EVIDENCE FOR THE
14 COURT, IT'S MY MONEY. IT'S EVIDENCE.

15 THE COURT: SIR --

16 MR. AVRAHAM: WHY I NEED HELP IF PEOPLE BLOCK MY
17 MONEY?

18 THE COURT: SIR, YOU CAN'T STAND HERE IN FRONT OF
19 ME AND SAY YOU HAVE MONEY TO BUY A HOUSE, \$849,000, AND
20 THEN SAY YOU DON'T HAVE MONEY TO PAY FOR A LAWYER.

21 MR. AVRAHAM: NO, IT'S NOT --

22 THE COURT: WELL, WHATEVER. YOU NEED TO FIND A
23 LAWYER. THAT'S MY ADVICE. GET YOURSELF A LAWYER. THEY
24 WILL SPEAK FOR YOU.

25 MR. AVRAHAM: I HAVE A LAWYER, WAS ABUSED BY
26 COMMISSIONER COWAN. HE CAME AND YOU SEE AUGUST 18 WHAT
27 HE DID TO MY LAWYER. HE DID MY LAWYER. MY LAWYER, WHAT
28 CAN I TELL YOU? I CAN'T BELIEVE WHAT HE'S DOING. I

1 CANNOT BELIEVE. I HAVE PAID A LAWYER. HE ABUSED TWICE
2 MR. GREEN. HE DIDN'T CARE.

3 THE COURT: PLEASE DO WHAT YOU CAN TO FIND ONE.
4 CONTACT THE SANTA MONICA BAR ASSOCIATION, THE L.A.
5 COUNTY BAR HAS LEGAL REFERRAL SERVICE, BUT YOU NEED TO
6 GET A LAWYER TO HELP YOU.

7 MR. AVRAHAM: I THINK I NEED THE GOVERNMENT TO PUT
8 COMMISSIONER COWAN UNDER INVESTIGATION.

9 THE COURT: COULD YOU PREPARE A RULING.

10 MR. BERMAN: I WILL.

11 I JUST WANT TO, FOR THE RECORD, DISPUTE ANY
12 PICTURES OF BOTTLES. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT IS.

13 I'LL PREPARE THE ORDER.

14 MR. AVRAHAM: I CAN BRING EVIDENCE.

15 THE COURT: I'M GLAD TO HEAR THAT, BUT YOU HAVE TO
16 PRESENT IT PROPERLY. THAT'S WHY YOU NEED A LAWYER.

17 MR. AVRAHAM: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS --

18 MR. BERMAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

19 MR. AVRAHAM: THIS IS ALREADY IN THE FILING.

20 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

21

22 (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

23

24

25

26

27

28